

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/038,259	CHARNY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	RANODHI N. SERRAO	2141	

**All Participants:**

(1) RANODHI N. SERRAO.

(2) Cindy S. Kaplan (Reg. No. 40,043).

**Date of Interview:** 13 May 2008

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 1PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1, 8, 16, 21, 25, and 30*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*With primary's approval, the Examiner proposed incorporating the limitations of dependent claim 11 into independent claims 8, 21, and 30 in order to advance prosecution of the application. The applicant agreed.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)